

UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

LIL

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/953,719	10/17/97	YOSHIDA		D	35.C12338
Γ ₀₀₅₅₁₄		LMC1/0516	コ		EXAMINER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112				PIZIAL	I,J
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK NY	10112			2778	10
				DATE MAILED:	05/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/953,719

Applicant(s)

YOSHIDA et al.

Examiner Jeff Piziali

Group Art Unit 2778



★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 25, 2000	- 100 Maria (100 Maria					
★ This action is FINAL.						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/035 C.D. 11; 453 O.	s, prosecution as to the merits is closed G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 37 CFR 1.136(a).	the period for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claim						
X Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-22, and 24-48</u>	is/are pending in the applicat					
Of the above, claim(s)						
Claim(s)						
X Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-22, and 24-48</u>						
Claim(s)						
☐ Claims						
Application Papers	_ are subject to restriction of election requirement.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	0.49					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is also objected to by the						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	approveddisapproved.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
🔀 received.						
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)						
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Certified copies not received:						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)						
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).						
 ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 						
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWI	NG PAGES					

Art Unit: 2778

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5, 7-12, 15-16, 18-22, 24-29, 32-33, 35 and 38-47 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis (5,589,847) in view of Yamaguchi (5,438,342).

In regards to claim 1, Lewis discloses a matrix substrate having switching elements connected to picture element electrodes (550), intersecting scanning (G) and signal lines (D), a digital horizontal scanning circuit (505), a latch circuit (515), a D/A converter (255), signal transfer switches (520), and transfer switch selection (select) [see Figure 15A, Column 10, Lines 22-48]. Lewis does not expressly disclose means for inputting signal-polarity inverting signals together with the picture data, and for inverting the polarity of the analog output of the D/A converter. However, Yamaguchi discloses means for inputting signal-polarity inverting signals together with the picture data, and for inverting the polarity of the analog output of the D/A converter [Figure 1; Column 2, Lines 1-29]. Lewis and Yamaguchi are analogous art because they are from the field of liquid crystal displays.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2778

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to utilize Yamaguchi's inverting means with Lewis' matrix substrate to suppress flicker and crosstalk.

In regards to claim 2, Lewis discloses applying analog signals to the signal lines (D) through the transfer switches (520) in every output of the selection circuit [see Figure 15B; Column 10, Lines 22-48].

In regards to claim 3, Lewis discloses less D/A convertors (255) than horizontally arranged switching elements (550), and sequentially inputting one convertor (520a) to plural switching elements (D₁₋₄) [see Figure 15A; Column 10, Lines 22-48].

In regards to claim 4, Lewis discloses a shift register (505) [see Figure 15A; Column 10, Lines 22-48].

In regards to claim 5, Lewis discloses a CMOS transistor for the switching element (550) [see Figure 15A; and the Abstract].

Page 4

Art Unit: 2778

In regards to claim 7, Lewis discloses a D/A converter capable of inputting one bit more than the bit number of the picture data bits [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 41 - Column 9, Line 10].

In regards to claim 8, Lewis discloses a changeover switch for selecting one of at least two groups of signal transfer switches (520) [see Figure 15A; Column 10, Lines 22-48].

In regards to claim 9, Lewis discloses supplying the picture data in divisions, and sampling is conducted by the horizontal scanning circuit (505) [see Figure 15B; Column 10, Lines 22-48].

In regards to claim 10, Lewis discloses changeover of the divided picture data [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 54 - Column 9, Line 10].

In regards to claim 11, Lewis discloses an elevation circuit for boosting output [see Figures 3A-C; Column 6, Lines 37-56].

In regards to claim 12, Lewis discloses a clamp type amplifier [see Figures 3A-C; Column 6, Lines 37-56].

Art Unit: 2778

In regards to claim 15, Lewis discloses at least two analog buffer circuits and a circuit for selecting one of the buffer circuits [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 54 - Column 9, Line 10].

Page 5

In regards to claim 16, Lewis discloses positive and negative polarity [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 54 - Column 9, Line 10].

In regards to claim 18, Lewis discloses a liquid crystal device comprising a matrix and a counter substrate having switching elements connected to picture element electrodes (550), intersecting scanning and signal lines (G) and signal lines (D), a digital horizontal scanning circuit (505), a latch circuit (515), a D/A converter (255), signal transfer switches (520), and transfer switch selection (select) [see Figure 15A; Column 10, Lines 22-48]. Lewis does not expressly disclose means for inputting signal-polarity inverting signals together with the picture data, and for inverting the polarity of the analog output of the D/A converter. However, Yamaguchi discloses means for inputting signal-polarity inverting signals together with the picture data, and for inverting the polarity of the analog output of the D/A converter [Figure 1; Column 2, Lines 1-29]. Lewis and Yamaguchi are analogous art because they are from the field of liquid crystal displays.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to utilize Yamaguchi's inverting means with Lewis' matrix substrate to suppress flicker and crosstalk.

Page 6

In regards to claim 19, Lewis discloses applying analog signals to the signal lines (D)

through the transfer switches (520) in every output of the selection circuit [see Figure 15B;

Column 10, Lines 22-48].

Art Unit: 2778

In regards to claim 20, Lewis discloses less D/A convertors (255) than horizontally

arranged switching elements (550), and sequentially inputting one convertor (520a) to plural

switching elements (D₁₋₄) [see Figure 15A; Column 10, Lines 22-48].

In regards to claim 21, Lewis discloses a shift register (505) [see Figure 15A; Column 10,

Lines 22-48].

In regards to claim 22, Lewis discloses a CMOS transistor for the switching element (550)

[see Figure 15A; and the Abstract].

In regards to claim 24, Lewis discloses a D/A converter capable of inputting one bit more

than the bit number of the picture data bits [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 41 - Column 9, Line

10].

In regards to claim 25, Lewis discloses a changeover switch for selecting one of at least

two groups of signal transfer switches (520) [see Figure 15A; Column 10, Lines 22-48].

Page 7

Art Unit: 2778

In regards to claim 26, Lewis discloses supplying the picture data in divisions, and sampling is conducted by the horizontal scanning circuit (505) [see Figure 15B; Column 10, Lines 22-48].

In regards to claim 27, Lewis discloses changeover of the divided picture data [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 54 - Column 9, Line 10].

In regards to claim 28, Lewis discloses an booster circuit for boosting output [see Figures 3A-C; Column 6, Lines 37-56].

In regards to claim 29, Lewis discloses a clamp type amplifier [see Figures 3A-C; Column 6, Lines 37-56].

In regards to claim 32, Lewis discloses at least two analog buffer circuits and a circuit for selecting one of the buffer circuits [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 54 - Column 9, Line 10].

In regards to claim 33, Lewis discloses positive and negative polarity [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 54 - Column 9, Line 10].

In regards to claim 35, Lewis discloses a display apparatus [see Column 1, Lines 9-17].

Art Unit: 2778

In regards to claim 38, Lewis discloses a matrix substrate having plural switching elements provided in a matrix corresponding to intersecting points of scanning lines (G) and signal lines (D), plural picture element electrodes (550) connected to the switching elements, a horizontal circuit (505) for inputting the signals to the switching elements, and a vertical circuit for driving the scanning lines, the matrix substrate comprising: a horizontal scanning circuit for sampling a picture data based on digital picture signals; a latch circuit (515) for memorizing the data synchronously with output from the horizontal scanning circuit; and a D/A converter (255) for converting the output from the latch circuit into analog signals [see Figure 15A; Column 10, Lines 22-48]. Lewis does not expressly disclose means for inputting a signal-polarity inverting signal together with the picture data, and for inverting the polarity of the analog output of the D/A converter. However, Yamaguchi discloses means for inputting signal-polarity inverting signals together with the picture data, and for inverting the polarity of the analog output of the D/A converter [Figure 1; Column 2, Lines 1-29]. Lewis and Yamaguchi are analogous art because they are from the field of liquid crystal displays.

Page 8

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to utilize Yamaguchi's inverting means with Lewis' matrix substrate to suppress flicker and crosstalk.

In regards to claim 39, Lewis discloses a CMOS transistor for the switching element (550) [see Figure 15A; and the Abstract].

Page 9

Art Unit: 2778

In regards to claim 40, Lewis discloses a D/A converter capable of inputting one bit more than the bit number of the picture data bits [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 41 - Column 9, Line 10].

In regards to claim 41, Lewis discloses an booster circuit for boosting output [see Figures 3A-C; Column 6, Lines 37-56].

In regards to claim 42, Lewis discloses a clamp type amplifier [see Figures 3A-C; Column 6, Lines 37-56].

In regards to claim 43, Lewis discloses a liquid crystal apparatus, comprising: a matrix substrate having plural switching elements provided in a matrix corresponding to intersecting points of scanning lines (G) and signal lines (D), plural picture element electrodes (550) connected to the switching elements, a horizontal circuit (505) for inputting the signals to the switching elements, and a vertical circuit for driving the signal lines; an opposite substrate opposing the matrix substrate; and a liquid crystal material between the matrix substrate and the opposite substrate, the apparatus further comprising a horizontal scanning circuit for sampling a picture data based on digital picture signals; a latch circuit (515) for memorizing the data synchronously with output from the horizontal scanning circuit; and a D/A converter (255) for converting the output from the latch circuit into analog signals [see Figure 15A; Column 10, Lines 22-48].

Page 10

Art Unit: 2778

Lewis does not expressly disclose means for inputting a signal-polarity inverting signal together with the picture data, and for inverting the polarity of the analog output of the D/A converter. However, Yamaguchi discloses means for inputting signal-polarity inverting signals together with the picture data, and for inverting the polarity of the analog output of the D/A converter [Figure 1; Column 2, Lines 1-29]. Lewis and Yamaguchi are analogous art because they are from the field of liquid crystal displays.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to utilize Yamaguchi's inverting means with Lewis' matrix substrate to suppress flicker and crosstalk.

In regards to claim 44, Lewis discloses a CMOS transistor for the switching element (550) [see Figure 15A; and the Abstract].

In regards to claim 45, Lewis discloses a D/A converter capable of inputting one bit more than the bit number of the picture data bits [see Figure 10; Column 8, Line 41 - Column 9, Line 10].

In regards to claim 46, Lewis discloses an booster circuit for boosting output [see Figures 3A-C; Column 6, Lines 37-56].

Art Unit: 2778

In regards to claim 47, Lewis discloses a clamp type amplifier [see Figures 3A-C; Column 6, Lines 37-56].

Page 11

3. Claims 13-14, 17, 30-31, 34, 36-37 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis (5,589,847) and Yamaguchi (5,438,342) as applied respectively to claims 1, 18 and 35 above, and further in view of Misawa et al. (5,250,931).

In regards to claim 13, Lewis does not expressly disclose decoding signals. Misawa et al. disclose decoding signals [see Figure 1; Column 4, Line 58 - Column 5, Line 5]. Lewis and Misawa et al. are analogous art because they are from the shared field of active matrix display technology. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Misawa's decoder in Lewis' driving circuit. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a precise method of processing input signals. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Lewis with Misawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 13.

In regards to claim 14, Lewis does not expressly disclose a diffusion layer in a semiconductor substrate, and an impurity concentration of a diffusion layer. Misawa et al. disclose a diffusion layer in a semiconductor substrate, and an impurity concentration of a diffusion layer [see Figures 3A-B; Column 6, Line 29 - Column 7, Line 59]. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Misawa's semiconductor substrate in Lewis' driving circuit. The motivation for doing so would have been

Page 12

Art Unit: 2778

to provide an inexpensive and accurate method of manufacturing the device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Lewis with Misawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 14.

In regards to claim 17, Lewis does not expressly disclose polishing the picture element electrode by chemical mechanical polishing. Misawa et al. disclose chemical mechanical polishing [see Figures 4A-D; Column 7, Line 60 - Column 8, Line 2]. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Misawa's polishing technique on Lewis' picture elements. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide an inexpensive and precise method of producing the device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Lewis with Misawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 17.

In regards to claim 30, Lewis does not expressly disclose decoding signals. Misawa et al. disclose decoding signals [see Figure 1; Column 4, Line 58 - Column 5, Line 5]. For the reasons set forward in the above rejection of claim 13, it would have been obvious to combine Lewis with Misawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 30.

Page 13

Art Unit: 2778

In regards to claim 31, Lewis does not expressly disclose discloses a diffusion layer in a semiconductor substrate, and an impurity concentration of a diffusion layer. Misawa et al. disclose a diffusion layer in a semiconductor substrate, and an impurity concentration of a diffusion layer [see Figures 3A-B; Column 6, Line 29 - Column 7, Line 59]. For the reasons set forward in the above rejection of claim 14, it would have been obvious to combine Lewis with Misawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 31.

In regards to claim 34, Lewis does not expressly disclose polishing the picture element electrode by chemical mechanical polishing. Misawa et al. disclose chemical mechanical polishing [see Figures 4A-D; Column 7, Line 60 - Column 8, Line 2]. For the reasons set forward in the above rejection of claim 17, it would have been obvious to combine Lewis with Misawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 34.

In regards to claim 36, Lewis does not expressly disclose a reflection type liquid crystal panel as the LCD. Misawa et al. disclose a reflection type liquid crystal panel as the LCD, and displays a picture image by introducing light emitted from a light source to the liquid crystal panel, and projecting reflected light through an optical system onto a screen [see Figures 16-18; Column 15, Line 52 - Column 17, Line 34]. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Misawa's reflection type liquid crystal panel as Lewis' LCD. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow Lewis' device to drive a type of

Art Unit: 2778

LCD with a large consumer market. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Lewis with Misawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 36.

In regards to claim 37, Lewis does not expressly disclose a liquid crystal panel comprising a picture element unit array and a microlens array. Misawa et al. disclose a liquid crystal panel comprising a picture element unit array and a microlens array: the picture unit array having picture element units arranged two-dimensionally at a prescribed pitch on the substrate, three color picture elements, and a combination of a first and second picture elements being arranged in a first direction and another combination of the first and third color picture elements being arranged in a second direction with the first color element common to both combinations; and the microlens array being arranged two-dimensionally above the picture element array at the pitch corresponding to the pitches of the two color picture element combinations arranged in the first and second direction on the substrate [see Figures 16-18; Column 15, Line 52 - Column 17, Line 34]. For the reasons set forward in the above rejection of claim 36, it would have been obvious to combine Lewis with Misawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 37.

In regards to claim 48, Lewis does not expressly disclose decoding signals. Misawa et al. disclose decoding signals [see Figure 1; Column 4, Line 58 - Column 5, Line 5]. For the reasons set forward in the above rejection of claim 13, it would have been obvious to combine Lewis with Misawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 48.

Page 14

Application/Control Number: 08/953,719 Page 15

Art Unit: 2778

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-22 and 24-48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 16

Art Unit: 2778

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 305-8382. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30 AM to 3 PM E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (703) 305-4938.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED

PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixt

Floor (Receptionist).

PRIMARY EXAMINER